

## Trials and successes

One event of importance is achieving **success** while trying to do something. In this case the basic unit is a **trial**, an activity (not event) that leads to success or to failure (either is an event). The distribution of successful trials can then be represented in two ways:

- as a random variable giving the number of trials leading to the first success;
- as a random variable giving the number of successes in a sequence of trials of a given length.

Both are **discrete variables**. The most common trials/successes model is called the **Bernoulli process** and is made of two distributions: **Geometric** and **Binomial**.

## Geometric distribution

We perform some activity until we succeed (tossing coins, throwing darts, falling in love, etc.). Suppose we know the probability of success of each attempt; let it be  $p$ .

The random variable  $\mathcal{G}$  is the set of possible numbers of attempts until the first success is achieved. Clearly, unless  $p = 1$ , the set  $\mathcal{G}$  is infinite.

The probability of succeeding in the  $k^{\text{th}}$  attempt is equal to  $k - 1$  failures (which happens with probability  $(1 - p)^{k-1}$ ) followed by a success:

$$P(\mathcal{G} = k) = p(1 - p)^{k-1}$$

Note that this process is **memoryless**: having failed  $n - 1$  times, we still have a probability  $p$  of succeeding in the  $n^{\text{th}}$  trial, no matter what the value of  $n$  is.

The mean and variance of the geometric distribution are:

$$\mu = \frac{1}{p}$$

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{1-p}{p^2}$$

This gives  $\sigma = \frac{\sqrt{1-p}}{p}$ .

When  $p$  is small,  $\mu \approx \sigma$ , a property of an interesting class of distributions.

You are an avid darts player. You established from past experience that you hit the bull's eye about 5 times per hundred attempts. Now your friend is offering you a wager: he will give you \$20 if you score with one of the first 10 throws and you will give her \$10 if you don't.

Should you accept?

The empirical estimate of  $p$  (probability of success in 1 trial) is 0.05 (5 out of 100). This gives the expected number of trials before the first success as 20.

At first, it looks unwise to accept the wager: for this random variable  $\mu = 20$  and you are given only 10 chances.

But one should not forget that if you win, you get twice as much as pay when you lose. A naive person may even conclude that the wager is fair, because of the proportions profit/loss and  $\mu / 10$  are the same.

You lose if you fail to succeed 10 times in a row. The probability of failure being 0.95, failing 10 times occurs with probability  $0.95^{10} = 0.59874$ . Thus you win with probability **0.40126**.

Another way to calculate the same:

$$P(\mathcal{G} \leq 10) = \sum_{i=1}^{10} P(\mathcal{G} = i)$$

$$P(\mathcal{G} \leq 10) = \sum_{i=1}^{10} p(1-p)^{i-1} = p \sum_{i=1}^{10} (1-p)^{i-1}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (1-p)^{i-1} = \frac{1 - (1-p)^n}{1 - (1-p)} = \frac{1 - (1-p)^n}{p}$$

$$P(\mathcal{G} \leq 10) = 1 - (1-p)^{10}$$

With  $p = 0.05$  we get:

$$P(\mathcal{G} \leq 10) = 1 - 0.95^{10} = 0.40126$$

$$P(\mathcal{G} \leq 10) = 1 - 0.95^{10} = 0.40126$$

If the profit is \$20 and the loss is \$10, it is wise to accept the wager, because the average outcome is:

$$0.4 \times \$20 - 0.6 \times \$10 = +\$2$$

which implies an **average** profit of \$2 from this wager.

Note however that  $\sigma = \frac{0.97}{0.05} = 19.5$  which, in this case, indicates a great element of risk.



## Geometrically distributed variates

$$P(k) = p(1 - p)^{k-1}$$

This is the probability that  $k$  trials will be needed to make an event that occurs with probability  $p$  happen. Note that the textbook defines it differently: they count the number of failures, not trials.

The following formula for a geometrically distributed variate  $G$  works (proof in the book; just add 1 for the successful trial):

$$G = \left\lceil \frac{\ln U}{\ln(1 - p)} \right\rceil$$

Yes, both parts of the fraction are negative.

## Binomial distribution

We perform some activity (tossing coins, throwing darts, falling in love, etc.)  $n$  times. Suppose we know the probability of success of each attempt; let it be  $p$ .

Assume that the random variable  $\mathcal{X}$  gives the probability that  $k$  of the  $n$  trials were successful. Then  $\mathcal{X}$  follows the

**binomial distribution:**

$$p(\mathcal{X} = k) = \binom{n}{k} p^k (1 - p)^{n-k}$$

For the binomial distribution with  $n$  trials:

$$\mu = np$$

$$\sigma^2 = np(1 - p)$$

Note that  $\mu$  does not have to be an integer.

## Throwing dice

When a die is rolled, the probability of a 6 is, clearly,  $p = \frac{1}{6}$ .

Thus, the average number of rolls needed to roll a 6 is

$$\mu = \frac{1}{p} = 6.$$

Jim proposes a wager: if he rolls a 6 in no more than 4 rolls, you pay him \$10; otherwise he pays you \$10.

Should you accept?

The geometric distribution should be used.

$$P(x \leq 4) = 1 - \left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^4$$

$$P(x \leq 4) = 0.5177$$

How can it be? The mean is 6 and yet the majority of the probability is at 1–4. We must note that in the formula for the mean the probabilities are weighted:

$$\mu = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} kp(1-p)^{k-1}$$

## More dice throwing

The scenario is the same, but now Jim ponders on the effectiveness of a wager that he will roll one or more 6.

Jim considers a wager: he rolls 4 times and collects \$8 for each 6 he rolls. He gives you \$10 if no 6 s are rolled.

Should he offer the wager?

The binomial distribution should be used.

$$P_4(k) = \left( \frac{4!}{k!(k-4)!} \right) \frac{1}{6^k} \left( \frac{5}{6} \right)^{4-k}$$

$$P_4(0) = \left( \frac{5}{6} \right)^4 = 0.4823$$

$$P_4(1) = 4 \times \frac{1}{6} \times \left( \frac{5}{6} \right)^3 = 0.386$$

$$P_4(2) = 6 \times \frac{1}{36} \times \left( \frac{5}{6} \right)^2 = 0.116$$

$$P_4(3) = 4 \times \frac{1}{216} \times \left( \frac{5}{6} \right) = 0.016$$

$$P_4(4) = \frac{1}{1296} = 0.00077$$

The payoff is:

$$\$8 \times 0.386 + \$16 \times 0.116 + \$24 \times 0.016 + \dots = \$5.333$$

which compares favourably with  $P_4(0) \times \$10 = 4.823$ .

This looks laborious and not quite worthy to be shown in class. But there is more to it...

The tedious formula evaluated was exactly the same as:

$$Payoff = D \times \sum_{k=1}^n kP_n(k)$$

where  $D$  is the unit payoff (\$8 in the calculations).

An observant student will notice that the sum resembles the formula for the mean:

$$\mu = \sum_{k=0}^n kP_n(k)$$

Hence, the formula is  $Payoff = D \times \mu = D \times n \times p$ .

Here,  $n = 4$  and  $p = \frac{1}{6}$ , so  $Payoff = \frac{2D}{3}$ .

To find out the minimum value of  $D$  to make Jim's new bet profitable for him, we solve the inequality:

$$\frac{2D}{3} > 0.4823 \times \$10$$

and we get:  $D > \$7.234$ .